



28th World Congress on Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology

20 – 24 October 2018, Singapore

Incorporating ASUM 2018

Important instructions for reviewers

Please print these instructions and have them to hand whilst you are reviewing. We ask that you follow the guidelines given when allocating your scores to ensure consistency in scoring methodology between reviewers.

Thank you for reviewing abstracts submitted for the 28th ISUOG World Congress in Singapore.

Reviewing deadline date: Wednesday 25 April 2018 (17:00 UK time)

If you have been assigned both general abstracts and case reports you will have seen these options available to you on the main "Review" tab. To switch between the two, please return to the main "Review" tab and select the other option. If you did not see this option then you have only been assigned general abstracts.

Authors have been asked to categorise their abstracts as either a **general abstract** or a **case report**. This year the Scientific Committee decided that the scoring between general abstracts and case reports should be the same, so please bear this in mind if you have reviewed for us previously.

Scoring

General abstracts and Case reports

- Please note the scoring this year for case reports follows the same rules as for general abstracts.
- Each abstract should be scored using one overall score from 1-10.
- The maximum total score per abstract is 10. Your score should reflect your judgement on the originality of the idea, the methods used, clinical significance of the results and whether the end conclusion is appropriate.
- The score guide below indicates how you should apply your scores.
- Once you have scored the abstract, you must use the '**recommendation**' drop down box to indicate if the paper should be considered for oral communication (OC), short oral presentation (OP), poster discussion hub (P), electronic poster (EP) or if you think it should be rejected, again you can use the guidance in red below.

Score	Overall impression	Possible allocation
10	Excellent	OC
9	Excellent	OC
8	Very good	OC / OP
7	Very good	OP
6	Good / Fair	OP / P
5	Good / Fair	P
4	Poor	P / EP
3	Poor	EP / possible reject
2	Extremely poor	Possible reject
1	Extremely poor	Reject

Key:

OC = Oral communication (5 minute presentation)

OP = Short oral presentation (1 PowerPoint slide, 2 minute presentation)

P = Poster discussion hub (1 PowerPoint slide, no presentation, but involved in a discussion session)

EP = Electronic poster (1 PowerPoint slide, no presentation)



28th World Congress on Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology

20 – 24 October 2018, Singapore

Incorporating ASUM 2018

Further instructions

- Only those abstracts which have been assigned to you are available to you for review and scoring.
- Abstracts can only be scored once. If you score the abstract(s) more than once, the last score will replace the previous score(s).
- If you have any comments to make with regards to a specific abstract, by clicking on the Control ID you will see a 'Confidential Comment' box show above the abstract text. Once you have entered your comment click the 'Save' button and close the window. Your comment will be made available to the Program Committee.
- The abstracts should be handled as confidential material.
- You can read and score the abstracts on the screen or you can print the abstracts and score them and input your scores on the screen later.
- By using the ID code assigned to you, you confirm that you did these reviews yourself, without a conflict of interest*.
- If you have a conflict of interest*, leave the scores for that abstract blank and tick the **'conflict of interest'** box so that we can reassign the abstract to another reviewer in good time before the deadline date.
- If you think an abstract has been submitted in the wrong category please tick the **'wrong category'** box. Please continue to review the abstract if possible, and **recommend a new topic in the comments box** within the abstract text window and the abstract will be reallocated.
- If you don't feel you have the appropriate expertise to review an abstract please leave the scores blank and tick the **'reallocate'** box, **stating the reason for reallocation** in the comments box within the abstract text window.
- Three independent reviewers will score each abstract and the Program Committee will finalise the scientific program based on the reviewers' scoring results.

**A conflict of interest would be direct involvement in or affiliation with the study and/or authors.*

Questions?

Please contact the ISUOG Secretariat if you have any questions regarding the review process. Please be aware that our office is located in London, United Kingdom and open Monday to Friday (09:00 – 17:00 UK time), but we will endeavour to get back to you as quickly as possible.

E: abstracts@isuog.org

T: +44 (0)20 7471 9955